



Name of Candidate

Degree

Title of Report

GUIDE NOTES FOR EXAMINERS:

Please refer to "Project Guidelines: For students, Supervisors and Examiners" (2005) for more details. This document is available online at: <http://sphcm.med.unsw.edu.au/sphcm.nsf/website/Prog&Courses.postgraduate.ph.mph.project>

The award of the degree is based upon the candidate's overall performance, both in the formal course work and in the project. For the MPH the total course is equivalent to 1 academic year of full-time study, of which the project represents approximately one sixth. For the MclinEd the total course is equivalent to 1½ academic years of full time study of which the project represents approximately one third.

Projects may take several forms, including research, design and critical review. Projects in research are considered to be more in the nature of training for research than in the production of significant original knowledge. Design projects may include the design, investigation and/or development of a specified project. A critical review of a specified topic is usually based upon an analysis of existing data.

The examiner is asked to assess the quality of the candidate's work as evidenced by the report on the project and also to comment upon adherence to the report title, literature survey (if appropriate), critical attitude and skill in scientific writing.

While projects may vary in scope, the examiner may wish to consider the following points:

- Are the project aims well formulated (e.g. scope, boundaries, purpose, desired outcomes)?
- Are the background conditions described in sufficient detail to provide rationale for the project?
- Are relevant concepts and empirical findings critically reviewed to draw light on the subject matter of the project?
- Are the activities undertaken to deal with the stated problems and aims of the study appropriate (consistent and reflect an adequate amount of effort)?
- Are the findings and experiences well summarized?
- Are the lessons derived from the study adequately discussed, and are the implications related to the candidate's own situation and conditions?
- Is there evidence of a self-critical approach to the preparation of the project by the author?

In my opinion the project report is (comments overleaf):

- Very satisfactory
- Satisfactory
- Satisfactory subject to minor corrections as listed being made to the satisfaction of the Head of School
- Not satisfactory, but may be resubmitted in a revised form
- Not satisfactory and may not be resubmitted in a revised form

Signature

Examiner's Name

Title and Position

Date

EXAMINER'S STATEMENT AND COMMENTS: